

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE KOB- 7 2006 02/07/2002 Jesus Fernandez-Grandizo Martinez 10/072,770 **EXAMINER** 03/05/2004 26689 7590 SNIDER, THERESA T WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON 225 WEST WACKER DRIVE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT CHICAGO, IL 60606 1744

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					17.
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	ī
		10/072,770)	FERNANDEZ-GRANDIZO MARTINEZ, JESUS	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Theresa T.	Snider	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 July 2002.			
•	•	☐ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-6 and 9-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers				
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-6- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/6- er No(s)/Mail Date 07/08/2002		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)

Application/Control Number: 10/072,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "116"(page 3, line 20) and "106"(page 5, line 21) have both been used to designate adapter. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 112(page 6, lines 18 and 19). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because figure 1 has 2-100s. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1744

Exemplary of such:

Claim 9, line 2, 'the first crosswise opening' lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim 20, lines 3-4, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'through utilization of the device'; how is the connection made?

Claim 20, line 1, recites a vacuum cleaner however fails to claim any structure that allow for operation as such.

Claim 21, line 2, it is unclear as to whether 'the device of claim 19' is the same as that in claim 20 or an additional device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 6. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Ź. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Essex et al...

Essex et al. discloses first and second arms attached to a hose wherein the first arm extends out from the hose and towards the second and the second arm extends out from the hose and towards the first arm (figure 2, #41, first arm, unnumbered element that lead line of 41 touches, unnumbered element that connects 41 to 38).

0

Application/Control Númber: 10/072,770

Art Unit: 1744

With respect to claim 4, Essex et al. discloses the arms each having a base and an end portion (figure 2, the base portion being that which contacts 38 and the end portion being the opposite end).

With respect to claim 5, Essex et al. discloses the base portions attached to the hose in a spaced apart relationship (figure 2).

With respect to claim 6, Essex et al. discloses the end portions attached to the base portions and in a spaced apart relationship (figure 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-3 and 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 9-19 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art discloses a device for attaching a hose to a housing having first and second arms, each having a base and an end portion, attached to the hose wherein the first arm extends out from the hose towards the second arm and the second arm extends out from the hose towards the first arm HOWEVER fails to disclose or fairly suggest the inclusion of an adapter having an opening on at

Application/Control Number: 10/072,770

Art Unit: 1744

least one end wherein the hose is securely received within the opening and the first and second arms are attached to the adapter OR the end portions of the arms defining a longitudinal opening.

Page 5

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Firdaus et al., Bonnet, Yang and Gavaza disclose the attachment of a hose to another device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa T. Snider whose telephone number is (571) 272-1277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday-Friday (6:30AM-3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

02/26/2004

rsis.d.

Theresa T. Snider Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744